UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,385	04/25/2002	Silvia Buervenich	1103326-0677	1420
7470 WHITE & CAS	7590 06/10/200 SE LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT	MONTANARI, DAVID A		
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/019,385	BUERVENICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Montanari	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No	ovember 2008.					
·= · ·	action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-20,25-31 and 34-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-20,24-31 and 35-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-11 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/019,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants arguments and amendments filed on 11/18/2008 have been entered.

2. Claim 1 is amended.

3. Claims 32 and 33 are newly cancelled.

4. The rejection of claims 1-6, 8-11 and 34 under 35 USC 112, first parag., new matter is withdrawn in view of Applicants removing the rejected language from the pending claims, however, the claims as amended, necessitates a new ground of rejection under 35 USC 112, first parag.

- 5. A new Examiner has taken over prosecution of this instant application.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 8-11 and 34 are examined in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6, 8-11 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In analyzing whether the written description requirement is met, it is first determined whether a representative number of species have been described by their complete structure.

Art Unit: 1632

Next, it is determined whether a representative number of species have been sufficiently described by other relevant identifying characteristics. Claim 1 recites an isolated Nurr1 gene which comprises one or more of the recited mutations, and functional fragments or variants that also include said mutations. The claimed genus of gene, fragments and variants includes potentially a large number of nucleic acid sequences of various length and structure/sequences as long as it has a substitution of Met to Val, His to Arg, or a deletion of a Tyr. The specification discloses that three specific mutations in exon 3 (not include Y121del) of human Nurr1 gene, Met97Val, His103Arg, and Y122del, which results in the reduction in transcription activation of said gene, and is linked to schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Further, the specification fails to teach any functional fragments that comprises said mutation would still encodes a Nurr1 protein that has same activation potential as the full length gene. Moreover, the specification fails to teach any variants, which would include proteins have totally unrelated sequences, which still has the claimed function. As for the function of the Nurrl gene, the specification discloses that it can bind to NurRE and direct transcription, wherein the three mutations in exon 3 results in the impairment of its function. As for the argument of all the sequences that are derived from Nurrl, it is unclear what sequence these fragments or variants must have to be considered as a derivative of the parent gene. The instant specification does not describe any such claimed fragments or variants.

Possession may be shown by actual reduction to practice, clear depiction of the invention in a detailed drawing, or by describing the invention with sufficient relevant identifying characteristics such that a person skilled in the art would recognize that the inventor had

Art Unit: 1632

possession of the claimed invention. <u>Pfaff v. Wells Electronics, Inc.</u>, 48 USPQ2d 1641, 1646 (1998).

Applicants' attention is directed to the decision in *Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar*, 19USPQ2d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 1991), which clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession *of the invention*. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, *whatever is now claimed*." <u>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</u>, 19USPQ2d at 1117. The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." <u>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</u>, 19USPQ2d at 1116.

Applicants may show that they are in "possession" of the invention claimed by describing the invention with all of its claimed limitations "by such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that fully set forth the claimed invention." See *Lockwood v. American Airlines Inc.* 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Therefore, Applicant was not in possession of the genus of all Nurr1 genes, functional fragments or variants thereof other that the isolated human and mouse Nurr1 genes with the associated mutations discussed above. <u>University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.</u>, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1404, 1405 held that to fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention."

Further, even if the Nurrl gene is conserved in many species, the claimed invention does not have adequate support for the fragments and variants because the specification fails to

Art Unit: 1632

describe the claimed genus by a representative number of species by its complete structure or other identifying characteristics. Therefore, the written description requirement is not met.

No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Montanari whose telephone number is (571)272-3108. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tr 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 1-571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,385 Page 6

Art Unit: 1632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David A. Montanari AU 1632

/Valarie Bertoglio/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632